POSEIDON: A Safe and Scalable Persistent Memory Allocator

Anthony Demeri, Wookhee Kim, **R. Madhava Krishnan,** Mohammed Ismail, Changwoo Min





Finally, NVM is here.

We are on the cusp of a **new era** for memory hierarchies.

Persistent memory has arrived!

Non-volatile Main Memory (NVM) was first commercialized by Intel as **OPTANE DC Persistent Memory**

It offers significant hierarchy benefits.





NVM = DRAM + Disk?



Non-Volatile Main Memory

Benefits of NVMM

- Read/write latency on order of DRAM
- Byte-addressable like the DRAM
 - Allows programmers to use common load/store instructions
- 100x faster than traditional disks
- Non-volatile like the storage
 - Retains data across failures



How does a developer access NVMM?

Direct Memory Mapping



NVM is accessed using DAX mode.

Entire NVM region is mapped to the user region.

But, who will now manage the large mmaped region?

A NVM allocator is needed.



Design Requirements-- NVM Allocators vs DRAM Allocators

Similarities

- Scalable to many-cores
- High -performance

Special Considerations

- Ensure crash consistency for the allocation/deallocation
- Crash consistent metadata for correct recovery
- Handle persistent memory leaks
- Safe (heap metadata protection)



Unfortunately, existing persistent memory allocators *fall far short*

- Scalable only for small size memory allocations [Makalu-oopsla 16]
- Scales poorly for large size and number of allocations [Makalu-oopsla16]
- No metadata safety [Makalu-oopsla16, PMDK]
- Non-scalable across NUMA domain [Makalu-oopsla16, PMDK]



Persistent Memory Corruption in PMDK

1) Allocate all memory from the heap

2) Corrupt the header by "accidentally" writing prior to the returned pointer to feign a larger size

3) Erroneously free the larger size

4) Attempt to allocate again

5) PMDK over allocate already allocated memory

```
void pmdk_overlapping_allocation(nvm_heap *heap) {
    void *p[1024], *free;
    int i;
```

```
/* Free an arbitrary object but before freeing
 * the object, corrupt the size in its allocation
 * header to larger number. It will make the PMDK
 * allocator corrupt its allocation bitmap. */
free = p[i/2];
*(uint64_t *)(free - 16) = 1088; /* Corrupt header!!! */
nvm_free(free);
```

```
/* Since only one object is freed, the NVM heap
* should be able to allocate only one 64-byte object.
* But due to the allocation bitmap corruption
* in the previous step, 9 objects will be allocated.
* Unfortunately, 8 out of 9 will be already allocated
* objects so it will cause user data corruption. */
for (i = 0; true; i = ++i % 1024) {
    if ( !(p[i] = nvm_malloc(64)) )
        break;
    assert(p[i] == free); /* This will fail!!! */
```



Summary of Problem

- Using NVMM *requires more than a file system interface*, in order to capitalize upon low latency benefits
- Interfacing with NVMM directly is non-trivial; mandating the need for a persistent memory allocator
- A persistent allocator *must* provide:
 - (1) scalable performance to manycores
 - (2) protection of heap metadata



Poseidon Presentation Outline

- Architectural overview
- Fundamentals overview
- Design decisions: compare and contrast
- Evaluations
- Conclusion

POSEIDON Architecture

Key Points

Metadata is stored separately from user data

2 3





Poseidon Presentation Outline

- Architectural overview
- Design decisions: compare and contrast
- Evaluations
- Conclusion



Safe

How can we protect metadata?

Intel Memory Protection Keys (MPK)

Binning metadata storage is critical



Procedure

Initialization (one time cost)

```
int mpk_key = initialize_mpk_key(); // Get key
mpk_set_permissions(mpk_key, PROT_NONE); // Prot
mpk_map(addr, sizeof(meta), mpk_key); // Map meta
```

Updating

mpk_set_permissions(mpk_key, PROT_RDWR);

Completion

mpk_set_permissions(mpk_key, PROT_NONE);





How can we allow manycore scalability?

Sub-heap, per-cpu design

- Existing allocators have been shown to introduce problematic bottlenecks via global lists
- We adopt per-CPU metadata structures
 - Minimizes inter-socket memory accesses
 - Maximizes use of memory controllers
 - Eliminate global system bottlenecks





More on paper..

- How poseidon handles API misuse?
- How Poseidon handles defragmentation?
- Compare and contrast Poseidon with the other memory allocators at the design level
- Implementation details



Poseidon Presentation Outline

- Architectural overview
- Fundamentals overview
- Design decisions: compare and contrast
- **Evaluations**
- Conclusion



How can we evaluate POSEIDON?

- **Discuss** the **metadata safety guarantee** of POSEIDON (refer paper)
- Evaluate the scalability of POSEIDON, relative to the other persistent memory allocators (PMDK and Makalu)
- Evaluate the performance of real-world applications with POSEIDON to demonstrate POSEIDON's impact





Benchmarks Overview-- For Scalability Evaluation

- Microbenchmarks
 - Raw allocator performance
- HPC benchmarks
 - Impact of memory location
- Real world benchmarks (refer paper)
 - YCSB (key-value store)
 - Larson (server simulation)





System Setup

- Intel Xeon Platinum 8280M CPU (2.70 GHz)
- 768GB DRAM
- 3TB (12 x 256GB) Intel Optane DC Persistent Memory
- 2 Sockets
- 56 cores (112 logical cores)



Microbenchmarks



- Allocate 100 blocks, free 100 blocks in random order, repeat x1,000,000
- Not all memory allocators maintain free lists at the per-CPU level
- Makalu, for example, maintains a **global list** for allocations > 400 bytes





Scalable

Performance

The interconnect

bottleneck of PMDK

HPC Benchmarks

Makalu is not scalable for allocations > 400 bytes, due to its global free list The per-CPU design and size-agnostic allocation design allows POSEIDON to scale linearly





More Evaluations in the paper..

- Real-World Server Benchmark -- Larson Benchmark
- Integration and Evaluation with Index Structures -- YCSB Benchmark
- Proofs on how Poseidon achieves its safety guarantees



Conclusion

- We presented Poseidon- A safe and scalable persistent memory allocator
- Poseidon guarantees safety using Intel MPK
- Poseidon's' per-cpu sub-heap design enables to scale almost linearly
- Consistently outperform its competitors while providing safety guarantees

Thank You





How can we **prevent API misuse?** Multi-level Hash Table

- API misuse can cause persistent metadata corruption
- If a memory allocator allows erroneous-frees or double-frees to corrupt allocator metadata, we have either persistent memory leaks or overallocation
- We must have a high performing, scalable means of verifying existing allocations

Flowchart

User Frees Address "a"